UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 1:23-CV-21378

CR	ΑI	G	Bl		R	$\mathbf{F}_{i}^{\mathbf{Y}}$	7
\sim 1	4	v	יע	\cup J	L.	.	١,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NOMI HEALTH, INC., SBP STAFFING AND RECRUITING LLC, SB PORT VENTURES LLC, and TRIXIE BELO-OSAGIE,

Defendants.	

COMPLAINT FOR FLSA OVERTIME WAGE VIOLATION(S)

Plaintiff, Craig Burey, through his undersigned counsel and sue Defendants, NoMi Health, Inc., SBP Staffing and Recruiting LLC, SB Port Ventures LLC, and Trixie Belo-Osagi, for unpaid/underpaid overtime wages as follows:

Parties, Jurisdiction, and Venue

- 1. **Plaintiff, Craig Burey**, was and is a *sui juris* resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at all times material.
- 2. Plaintiff was an hourly employee of Defendants, as the term "employee" is defined by 29 U.S.C. §203(e).
 - 3. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee of the Defendants.
 - 4. Plaintiff consents to participate in this lawsuit.

5. **Defendant, NoMi Health, Inc. ("Nomi")**, is a foreign for-profit corporation

authorized to conduct its business in Florida and is sui juris. Nomi conducted its healthcare testing

and treatment business in numerous locations, including in this District, at all times material.

6. **Defendant, SBP Staffing and Recruiting LLC ("SBP Staffing")**, is a Florida limited

liability company authorized to conduct its business in Florida and is *sui juris*. Defendant has

conducted its medical staffing service business in this District, at all times material.

7. **Defendant, SB Port Ventures LLC ("SBP")**, is a Florida limited liability company

authorized to conduct its business in Florida and is *sui juris*. Defendant has conducted its medical

staffing service business in this District, at all times material.

8. **Defendant, Trixie Belo-Osagie**, was at all times material an

owner/officer/director/manager of SBP Stafing and SBP, for the time period relevant to this lawsuit.

She ran their day-to-day operations, directly/indirectly supervised Plaintiff, was responsible for all

operational decisions, and/or was partially or totally responsible for paying Plaintiff's wages

9. Defendants were Plaintiff's employer, as the term "employer" is defined by 29 U.S.C.

§203 (d).

10. Defendants, Nomi, SBP Staffing, SBP, and Ms. Belo-Osagi, would conduct and/or

coordinate the training sessions that Plaintiff was required to attend.

11. Defendants, Nomi, SBP Staffing, SBP, and Ms. Belo-Osagi, would conduct and/or

coordinate schedules Plaintiff was required to work by instructing him on when and where to work

and the job duties they expected him to perform.

12. Defendants, SBP Staffing and SBP paid Plaintiff for the work he performed.

13. Defendants, Nomi, SBP Staffing, SBP, and Ms. Belo-Osagi, established the policies

and procedures that Plaintiff was to follow in his work.

14. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff within the meaning of 29 C.F.R. §791.2, as

they shared a common workforce with a common purpose and utilized a unified nucleus of control

and are therefore jointly and severally liable for all overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys'

fees, and costs awarded to Plaintiff.

15. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(ii) because Defendants

transact business in this District, employed the Plaintiff in this District, and because most of the

actions complained of occurring within this District.

16. This Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal question claim under 28

U.S.C. §1331 and 26 U.S.C. §201, et seq.

FLSA Jurisdictional Allegations

17. Defendants regularly employed two or more employees for the relevant time that

handled goods or materials that traveled through interstate commerce or used instrumentalities of

interstate commerce, thus making Defendants' business an enterprise covered by the Fair Labor

Standards Act.

18. Defendant, Nomi, engaged in interstate commerce by providing staffing services in

locations in different states, including in Florida, and paying personnel working in Florida and other

states.

19. Furthermore, Defendants engaged in interstate commerce through their regular and

routine submission of billings and receipt of payment involving out-of-state medical payors, out-of-

state insurers, and/or the United States government.

20. Defendants utilized computers, telephones, phone systems, syringes, swabs, vaccines,

testing kits, computers, computer networking equipment, software, vehicles, paper, printers and

copier toner, and other materials and supplies to engage in interstate commerce.

21. Defendants' annual gross revenues derived from this interstate commerce are

believed to exceed \$500,000.00 for the relevant time and/or exceed \$125,000 for each fiscal quarter.

Factual Allegations

22. Defendants provided COVID-19 testing and vaccination at various sites throughout

Florida using a workforce comprised almost entirely of independent contractors on which they relied

to carry out the duties they contractually obligated themselves to undertake in Florida for various

state, municipal, and local governmental entities.

23. Plaintiff worked for the Defendants from approximately March 22, 2021, to April 3,

2023.

24. To the extent that records exist regarding the exact dates of his employment exist,

such records are in the exclusive custody of Defendants.

25. Plaintiff's work for Defendants was in, or so closely related to, the movement of

commerce that the Fair Labor Standards Act applies to Plaintiff's work for Defendants.

26. Plaintiff is a Registered Nurse ("RN") who worked for the Defendants with different

job titles, including as particular as an "Staff RN", an "Incident Commander", a "Clinical Lead", and

as a "County Manager" at various locations in this District.

27. Plaintiff utilized the tools, materials, and supplies provided to him by the Defendants

at the locations, dates, and times designed by Defendants to perform the work that Defendants

instructed him to perform and which they supervised and directed him to perform.

28. All conditions precedent were satisfied by Plaintiff and/or waived by Defendants.

29. Plaintiff retained the undersigned counsel and agreed to pay a reasonable fee for all services rendered.

Liability Allegations

30. Defendants assigned Plaintiff the work he was to perform, including where, when, and the hours he was to perform the work.

31. Defendants required Plaintiff to attend training sessions, identifying where and when he was to attend them, and provided the information and materials on which they would train him.

32. Plaintiff regularly and routinely worked more than 40 hours per week to perform services for and at the direction of Defendants.

33. Defendants required Plaintiff to log in and out of work through online time-tracking applications.

34. For his work for Defendants from March 22, 2021, through April 18, 2021, and again from June 28, 2021, through July 11, 2021, Defendants paid Plaintiff overtime wages for the time he worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek.

35. Although Defendants paid Plaintiff hourly from March 7, 2022, through April 9, 2023, Defendants did not pay him at a time and one-half his regular hourly rate for the hours he worked beyond 40 in a workweek.

COUNT I - FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATION (AGAINST NOMI HEALTH, INC.)

Plaintiff, Craig Burey, reincorporates and realleges all preceding paragraphs as though set forth

fully herein and further alleges as follows:

36. Defendant, Nomi, failed and refused to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of one and one-

half times his regular hourly rate for all the overtime hours he worked beyond 40 hours in a

workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee in violation of the FLSA.

37. Defendant, Nomi engaged in a pattern and practice of willfully and intentionally

refusing to pay Plaintiff and its other employed registered nurses overtime wages of one and one-

half times his regular hourly rate(s) of pay for the overtime hours he worked as a non-exempt hourly

employee during the past three years.

38. Defendant, Nomi, was sued previously for failing to pay its employees (misclassified

as independent contractors) overtime and failing to correct its violation(s) of the FLSA. Yet, it still

failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages.

39. Defendant Nomi either knew from prior experience or recklessly failed to investigate

whether its failure to pay Plaintiff overtime wages of one and one-half times his regular hourly rate(s)

of pay as a non-exempt hourly employee violated the FLSA and then failed to correct its violations

timely.

40. Plaintiff is entitled to a back pay award of overtime wages for all hours worked beyond

40 hours in a workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee, plus an equal amount as liquidated

damages, plus all attorneys' fees and costs.

<u>COUNT II - FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATION</u> (AGAINST SBP STAFFING AND RECRUITING LLC)

Plaintiff, Craig Burey, reincorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 35 as though set forth

fully herein and further alleges as follows:

41. Defendant, SBP Staffing, failed and refused to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of one

and one-half times his regular hourly rate for all the overtime hours he worked beyond 40 hours in

a workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee in violation of the FLSA.

42. Defendant, SBP Staffing engaged in a pattern and practice of willfully and

intentionally refusing to pay Plaintiff and its other employed registered nurses overtime wages of one

and one-half times his regular hourly rate(s) of pay for the overtime hours he worked as a non-

exempt hourly employee during the past three years.

43. Defendant, SBP Staffing, was sued previously for failing to pay its employees

(misclassified as independent contractors) overtime and failing to correct its violation(s) of the FLSA.

Yet, it still failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages.

44. Defendant SBP Staffing either knew from prior experience or recklessly failed to

investigate whether its failure to pay Plaintiff overtime wages of one and one-half times his regular

hourly rate(s) of pay as a non-exempt hourly employee violated the FLSA and then failed to correct

its violations timely.

45. Plaintiff is entitled to a back pay award of overtime wages for all hours worked beyond

40 hours in a workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee, plus an equal amount as liquidated

damages, plus all attorneys' fees and costs.

<u>COUNT III - FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATION</u> (AGAINST SB PORT VENTURES LLC)

Plaintiff, Craig Burey, reincorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 35 as though set forth

fully herein and further alleges as follows:

46. Defendant, SBP, failed and refused to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of one and one-

half times his regular hourly rate for all the overtime hours he worked beyond 40 hours in a

workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee in violation of the FLSA.

47. Defendant, SBP engaged in a pattern and practice of willfully and intentionally

refusing to pay Plaintiff and its other employed registered nurses overtime wages of one and one-

half times his regular hourly rate(s) of pay for the overtime hours he worked as a non-exempt hourly

employee during the past three years.

48. Defendant, SBP, was sued previously for failing to pay its employees (misclassified

as independent contractors) overtime and failing to correct its violation(s) of the FLSA. Yet, it still

failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages.

49. Defendant, SBP either knew from prior experience or recklessly failed to investigate

whether its failure to pay Plaintiff overtime wages of one and one-half times his regular hourly rate(s)

of pay as a non-exempt hourly employee violated the FLSA and then failed to correct its violations

timely.

50. Plaintiff is entitled to a back pay award of overtime wages for all hours worked beyond

40 hours in a workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee, plus an equal amount as liquidated

damages, plus all attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT IV - FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATION (AGAINST TRIXIE BELO-OSAGIE)

Plaintiff, Craig Burey, reincorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 35 as though set forth

fully herein and further alleges as follows:

51. Defendant, Ms. Belo-Osagi, failed and refused to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of

one and one-half times his regular hourly rate for all the overtime hours he worked beyond 40 hours

in a workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee in violation of the FLSA.

52. Defendant, Ms. Belo-Osagi engaged in a pattern and practice of willfully and

intentionally refusing to pay Plaintiff and her other employed registered nurses overtime wages of

one and one-half times his regular hourly rate(s) of pay for the overtime hours he worked as a non-

exempt hourly employee during the past three years.

53. Defendant, Ms. Belo-Osagi, was sued previously for failing to pay its employees

(misclassified as independent contractors) overtime and failing to correct its violation(s) of the FLSA.

Yet, she still failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages.

54. Defendant Ms. Belo-Osagi either knew from prior experience or recklessly failed to

investigate whether her failure to pay Plaintiff overtime wages of one and one-half times his regular

hourly rate(s) of pay as a non-exempt hourly employee violated the FLSA and then failed to correct

her violations of the FLSA timely.

55. Plaintiff is entitled to a back pay award of overtime wages for all hours worked beyond

40 hours in a workweek as a non-exempt hourly employee, plus an equal amount as liquidated

damages, plus all attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF (ALL COUNTS)

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, Craig Burey, demands the entry of a judgment in his favor and against Defendants, NoMi Health, Inc., SBP Staffing and Recruiting LLC, SB Port Ventures LLC, and Trixie Belo-Osagi, on all counts, jointly and severally after trial by jury, and as follows:

- a. That Plaintiff recover compensatory overtime wage damages and an equal amount of liquidated damages as provided under the law and in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- b. That Plaintiff recover pre-judgment interest on all unpaid overtime wages if the Court does not award liquidated damages;
- c. That Plaintiff recover an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses pursuant to the FLSA;
- d. That Plaintiff recover all interest allowed by law;
- e. That Defendants be Ordered to make Plaintiff whole by providing appropriate overtime pay and other benefits wrongly denied in an amount to be shown at trial and other affirmative relief;
- f. That the Court declare Defendants to be in willful violation of the overtime provisions of the FLSA; and
- g. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Craig Burey, demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of April 2023,

s/Brian H. Pollock, Esq.
Brian H. Pollock, Esq.
Fla. Bar No. 174742
brian@fairlawattorney.com
FAIRLAW FIRM
135 San Lorenzo Avenue
Suite 770
Coral Gables, FL 33146
Tel: 305.230.4884
Counsel for Plaintiff